

Assessment of CQA in mRNA-LNP Modality

Part 1 of a 3-Part Series: Assessment of Critical Quality Attributes for Relevant Modalities



Fig 1: mRNA encapsulated with LNP typically composed of encaptured nucleic acids such as mRNA, siRNA, etc. as shown in the red curve line by lipid nanoparticle, LNP as ionized (green), phospolipids (blue), pegylated (dark blue) along with cholesterol (purple) (Ref: adapted from the drawing of June and Lee et al, Theranostics 2022).⁸

With an ever-increasing interest in designing mRNA-based vaccines, mRNA-Lipid nanoparticles (mRNA-LNP) formulation is a relatively new modality.

This white paper discusses numerous quality attributes that influence:

- Potency
- Stability
- Toxicity
- Risk assessment relevant to mRNA-LNP modality

Read more



What is a Critical Quality Attribute (CQA), and why must it be critically assessed?

There have been several good reviews to assess CQA in different modalities.¹⁻³ Critical quality attributes are measurable physical, chemical, biological, or microbiological properties within an appropriate limit, range, or distribution to ensure product quality. These attributes include identity, sterility, potency, safety, and purity, and are vital quantifiable parameters essential for maintaining the consistency and reproducibility of a drug throughout its entire life cycle.⁵

ICH Guidelines Q8⁴, Q9⁶, and Q10⁷ provide a systematic framework for delineating critical quality attributes (CQAs), designing the product's operational range, detailing the manufacturing process, and establishing the control strategy. Due to the structural complexity of molecules and elaborate manufacturing process, it is often difficult to fully evaluate the impact of the large number of quality attributes related to safety and efficacy¹. Thus, an integrated control strategy must be developed and refined over time for process characterization spanning the product's lifecycle.¹

mRNA-LNP Related CQAs

A typical mRNA molecule comprises a 5' cap and a polyadenosine (poly(A) tail at the 3' end. The 5' cap region is followed by the 5' untranslated region (5'UTR), with the 3' UTR occurring just before the poly(A) tail. These UTRs flank the coding sequence of the gene intended for expression.³



Fig 2: Schematic diagram showing key structural features of mRNA molecule.³

The 5' cap structure facilitates mRNA binding to ribosomal initiation factors, while the 3' poly(A) tail plays a role in ribosomal machinery binding. The mRNA is typically encapsulated in delivery vehicles such as LNPs, which facilitate mRNA entering the cell cytoplasm and protect it from nuclease degradation.³

While the complete list of CQAs for mRNA-LNP is always product-specific; several common CQAs apply to all products. Five main categories of CQAs have been identified as follows: ³

- 1. Purity and product-related impurities
- 2. Safety evaluation tests
- 3. Strength, identity, and potency
- 4. Product quality and characteristics
- 5. Other obligatory CQAs



Category of Quality	CQA	Regulatory	Impact on ³
Attribute		Precedence?	mRNA-LNP Therapeutics
Purity			
Percentage-capped mRNA	Yes	Yes	The percentage of capped and polyadenylated mRNA, along with the length and distribution of the poly(A) tail, directly impact the translational efficiency
 Polv(A) tail length and distribution 	Yes	Yes	
RNA integrity	Yes	Yes	
Percentage poly(A) mRNA	Yes	Yes	
Process-related impurities			
Residual nucleoside 5' Triphosphate (NTP)	Yes	Yes	An increased level of contaminants affects the efficacy of mRNA and might trigger undesired immune reactions.
Residual enzyme	No	Yes	
Residual DNA template	No	Yes	
Residual solvents	No	Yes	
Linid-related DP impurities	No	Yes	
dsRNA	No	Yes	
	110	100	
Safety			The presence of endotoxins in pharmaceutical products could pose risks to human health upon administration.
Bioburden	No	Yes	
• Sterility	No	Yes	
Endotoxin	No	Yes	
Identity			
Lipid ID	No	Yes	The accurate sequence of mRNA is
Sequence ID	No	Yes	crucial to produce the desired
Potency			protein enectively.
Drug Product	No	Yes	The lipid composition of LNP plays a
Drug Substance	No	Yes	cells and impacts the product's
Strength			efficacy.
mRNA Content	No	Yes	
RNA ratio	No	Yes	If more than one RNA species
Product Quality and Characterization			
Encapsulation efficiency	No	Yes	Impurities resulting from the LNP encapsulation process, lipid raw material, and lipid degradation byproducts impact the safety and efficacy of mRNA products.
Surface charge	No	Yes	
LNP Size	No	Yes	
LNP polydispersity	No	Yes	
Lipid content	No	Yes	
Compendial Testing (Obligatory CQAs)			
Appearance	No	Yes	There is minimal impact of
• pH	No	Yes	compendial testing on mRNA-LNP
Moisture content	No	Yes	merapeutics.
Osmolality	No	Yes	
Sub-visible particle	No	Yes	
Extractable volume	No	Yes	

Table 1: Category of Quality Attribute relevant to CQA unique for RNA products, precedence case in regulatory, and impact on mRNA-LNP Therapeutics.³



Criticality (Risk) Assessment Framework¹

As per ICH Q9⁵ regulatory guidelines a risk assessment must be conducted for each QA for potential patient impact. The relationship between the attribute and the product's clinical performance (PK, PD, Efficacy, and safety) should be rigorously evaluated, using prior knowledge with sound scientific judgment. Typically, this involves employing a scoring system that considers the impact and uncertainty of each attribute. The impact and uncertainty factors are scored independently against scales of up to five levels, with higher weighting assigned to the impact factor reflecting its higher importance.¹

Impact Assessment	Uncertainty Assessment		
Consider the known or potential effects on	Evaluate the significance of the following information		
safety and effectiveness, including:	sources:		
Safety (toxicity)	Clinical Experience		
Immunogenicity	Non-Clinical studiesLiterature		
Biological Activity			
• PK/PD	Prior knowledge, platform knowledge		
	In-vitro data		
3 - 5 levels: Scores (2 to 20)	3 – 5 levels: Score (1 to 7)		
(Very high), High, moderate, low,	(Very High), high, moderate, low,		
(very low/ no effect)	(very low)		
Criticality (Risk Score) = Impact X Uncertainty ¹			

The scoring matrix combines five levels of impact with five levels of uncertainty. This yields a risk score ranging from 2 to 140. Once a cutoff score is established, attributes below the cutoff are designated as non-critical Quality Attributes (nCQA), while those above are categorized as Critical Quality Attributes (CQAs).

Table 2: Overview of CQA Risk Ranking Methodology¹

Tier Assignment (Applicable Only to US FDA)²

The FDA recommends a tier-based approach for assessing quality attributes, with each attribute assigned to one of three tiers based on its criticality. Criticality is determined by evaluating potential clinical impact and uncertainty. **Tier 1** is reserved for attributes with the highest criticality, directly impacting the mode of action. **Tier 2** is for attributes of moderate criticality. **Tier 3** encompasses characteristics with the lowest criticality. Factors beyond criticality, such as analytical methodology and risk assessment, also influence the final tier assignment of each quality attribute or analytical test.



3-Part Series: Assessment of Critical Quality Attributes for Relevant Modalities

In this series, we will assess Critical Quality Attributes (CQAs) relevant to 3 modalities:

- 1. mRNA-LNP (Lipid Nano Particle) this paper
- 2. Antibody-Drug Conjugate (ADC) next paper
- 3. Monoclonal Antibody (mAb) coming soon

Capabilities at Crystal Bio

Crystal Bio is a leading Contract Research Organization (CRO) specializing in comprehensive analytical services for biotherapeutics. Our expertise covers a wide range of modalities, including mRNA-LNP Therapeutics with our strategic partner CATUG, Monoclonal Antibodies, Fusion Proteins, and Antibody Drug Conjugates (ADCs). With a robust analytical toolkit comprising, High-resolution LC-MS, IPRP-LC, RPLC, LC-ELSD, LC-CAD, CE, cIEF, qPCR, ELISA, Endotoxin, Sterility, Bioburden, and Cell-based Bioassay, etc. Our capabilities also extend to method development and analytical characterization of biotherapeutics. This holistic approach ensures compliance with stringent regulatory requirements outlined in the CMC section, making us a valuable partner for pre-IND, Phase I, and subsequent submissions.

References:

- 1. Critical Quality Attributes Assessment and Testing Strategy for Biotherapeutics Development. American Pharmaceutical Review 2019.
- Rational selection, criticality Assessment, and tiering of Quality Attributes and test methods for analytical similarity Evaluation of Biosimilars. Vandekerckhove K. et al. The AAPS Journal (2018) 20:68
- 3. Defining the required critical quality attributes (CQAs) and phase requirements for mRNA/LNP product development and manufacture © BioPhorum Operations Group Ltd July 2023
- 4. ICH Guidelines Q8 (R2) Pharmaceutical development. 2009.
- 5. https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/unveiling-pillars-success-setting-critical-quality-attributes/
- 6. ICH Guidelines Q9 Quality risk management. 2006
- 7. ICH Guidelines Q10 Pharmaceutical Quality System. 2009
- 8. Lipid nanoparticles for delivery of RNA therapeutics: Current status and the role of in vivo imaging Han Na Jung, Seok-Yong Lee, Somin Lee, Hyewon Youn, Hyung-Jun Im, Theranostics 2022; 12(17): 7509-7531.